arXiv:1605.03758v2 [math.OA] 15 Sep 2016

COMMUTANTS OF WEIGHTED SHIFT DIRECTED GRAPH OPERATOR ALGEBRAS

DAVID W. KRIBS, RUPERT H. LEVENE, AND STEPHEN C. POWER

ABSTRACT. We consider non-selfadjoint operator algebras $\mathfrak{L}(G,\lambda)$ generated by weighted creation operators on the Fock-Hilbert spaces of countable directed graphs G. These algebras may be viewed as noncommutative generalizations of weighted Bergman space algebras, or as weighted versions of the free semigroupoid algebras of directed graphs. A complete description of the commutant is obtained together with broad conditions that ensure the double commutant property. It is also shown that the double commutant property may fail for $\mathfrak{L}(G,\lambda)$ in the case of the single vertex graph with two edges and a suitable choice of left weight function λ.

1. INTRODUCTION

For over two decades, operator algebras associated with directed graphs and their generalizations have received intense interest in the operator algebra and mathematics community. This class of algebras includes many interesting examples, often with connections to different areas, such as dynamical systems, and at the same time is sufficiently broad that results for them have given insights to the general theory of operator algebras. The most fundamental non-selfadjoint algebras in this class are the tensor algebras [11, 13] and free semigroupoid algebras of directed graphs [5, 8, 9], including free semigroup algebras [2, 6]. Each of these is generated by creation operators on a Fock-type Hilbert space defined by the graph, and there is now an extensive body of work for these algebras.

In this paper we consider weighted creation operator generalizations, in the weak operator topology (WOT) closed setting, and we investigate their algebraic structure. The resulting *weighted shift directed graph algebras* $\mathfrak{L}(G,\lambda)$ may be viewed as the minimal generalization of two different classes of non-selfadjoint algebras: the free semigroupoid algebras of directed graphs on the one hand, and on the other, the classical unilateral weighted shift algebras associated with single variable weighted Bergman spaces.

The paper is organized as follows. In the next section we introduce the notation λ, ρ, for certain left and right weight functions for

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 47L75, 47L55, 47B37.

Key words and phrases. Directed graph, weighted shift, non-selfadjoint algebra, commutant, left regular representation, creation operators, Fock space.

the path semigroupoid of a directed graph G, and define their associated weighted creation operators (which need not be bounded) and their respective operator algebras, $\mathfrak{L}(G,\lambda)$ and $\mathfrak{R}(G,\rho)$, on the Fock space $\mathcal{H}_G$. In the subsequent section we investigate the structure of the commutant algebra $\mathfrak{L}(G,\lambda)'$ and obtain its characterization under the natural condition (left-boundedness of λ) that all the weighted left creation operators are bounded. In the proof we identify a simple commuting square condition that relates the left weight λ to a particular right weight ρ which is relevant to the commutant, and we exploit this to show that $\mathfrak{L}(G,\lambda)' = \mathfrak{R}(G,\rho)$ for this right weight. In the fourth section we investigate the double commutant $\mathfrak{L}(G,\lambda)''$ and obtain broad conditions which ensure the double commutant property $\mathfrak{L}(G,\lambda)'' = \mathfrak{L}(G,\lambda)$.

A range of illuminating examples is also given. In particular, for the single vertex graph with two edges it is shown that there exist left-bounded weights λ for which $\mathfrak{L}(G,\lambda)'' = \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_G)$. On the other hand, for the directed 2-cycle graph, with two vertices and two edges, necessary and sufficient conditions are obtained for the double commutant property.

Our focus here is on the analysis of generalized weighted shifts and the non-selfadjoint operator algebras they generate, in a setting that embraces both commutative and non-commutative versions, and is built upon the contemporary directed graph operator algebra framework. In fact the first foray in this direction for single vertex directed graphs gave sufficient conditions for the determination of the commutant and for reflexivity [7], the basic general goals being to extend results from the single variable commutative case and to expose new phenomena in the non-commutative directed graph setting. Our concern in the present paper is to characterize commutants for the left and right algebras by identifying explicit conditions at the level of weighted graphs. It would be interesting to connect this double commutant investigation with the recent work [10] on a general double commutant theorem for non-selfadjoint algebras, and with recent work on weighted Hardy algebras of correspondences [3, 12].

We leave the natural problems of invariant subspace structure and reflexivity for these algebras for investigation elsewhere. It should be possible to identify a large class of these algebras as being reflexive, and in doing so, extend results from the case of weighted Bergman spaces [14] and partial results from the weighted free semigroupoid algebra case [7]. Additionally, non-reflexive examples have not yet been constructed in the non-commutative case. This should also be possible with extended notions of strictly cyclic weighted shifts to our setting.

2. Weighted Shift Directed Graph Algebras

Let G be a countable directed graph with edge set $E(G) = \{e, f, \ldots\}$ and vertex set $V(G) = \{x, y, \ldots\}$. We will write $G^+ = \{u, v, w, \ldots\}$ for the set of finite paths in G, including the vertices regarded as paths of length 0. Note that if G is finite (by which we mean that both $V(G)$ and $E(G)$ are finite), then the set $\{w \in G^+ \colon |w| < k\}$ is finite for each $k \geq 1$, where $|w|$ denotes the length of a path w. We write $s(w)$ and $r(w)$ for the source and range vertices of a path w; in particular, if $x \in V(G)$, then $r(x) = x = s(x)$. We will also take a right to left orientation for path products, so that $w = r(w)ws(w)$ for all $w \in G^+$, and for $v, w \in G^+$ we have $wv \in G^+$ if and only if $s(w) = r(v)$.

To each such graph G we associate the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}_G = \ell^2(G^+)$, called the Fock space of G, with canonical orthonormal basis $\{\xi_v : v \in G^+\}$. The vectors ξ_x for $x \in V(G)$ are called vacuum vectors. The left creation operators on $\mathcal{H}_G$ are the partial isometries defined as follows: $L_w\xi_v = \xi_{wv}$ whenever $wv \in G^+$, and $L_w\xi_v = 0$ otherwise. (These operators may also be viewed as generated by the left regular representation of the path semigroupoid of the graph.) Pictorially, as an accompaniment to the directed graph, one can view the actions of the generators L_e as tracing out downward tree structures that lay out the basis vectors for $\mathcal{H}_G$. One tree is present for each vertex x in G, with the top tree vertex in each tree corresponding to a vacuum vector ξ_x, and the tree edges corresponding to the basis pairs (ξ_w, ξ_{ew}).

We call a function $\lambda \colon G^+ \times G^+ \to [0, \infty)$ a *left weight* on G if

(1) $\lambda(v, w) > 0 \iff wv \in G^+$; and
(2) λ satisfies the *(left) cocycle condition*:

$$\lambda(v, w_2w_1) = \lambda(w_1v, w_2)\lambda(v, w_1)$$

for all $v, w_1, w_2 \in G^+$ with $w_2w_1v \in G^+$.

Note that if $v \in G^+$, then $r(v) \in V(G)$ satisfies $r(v) = r(v)^2$, hence $\lambda(v, r(v)) = \lambda(v, r(v))^2$ and so $\lambda(v, r(v)) = 1$. In particular, for $x \in V(G)$ we have $x = s(x) = r(x)$ and so $\lambda(s(x), x) = \lambda(x, r(x)) = 1$. Note also that the edge weights $\lambda(v, e)$ (where $ev \in G^+$ and $e \in E(G)$) determine the entire function λ through the left cocycle condition. Indeed, if we attach the weight $\lambda(v, e)$ to the edge in the Fock space tree corresponding to the move $\xi_v \mapsto \xi_{ev}$ defined by $L_e\xi_v = \xi_{ev}$, then we can view $\lambda(v, w)$ (when non-zero) as the product of the individual weights one crosses when moving from ξ_v to ξ_{wv} in that tree. See subsequent sections for more discussion on this "forest" perspective.

Given such a left weight λ, we define (by a mild abuse of notation)

$$\lambda(w) = \sup_{v \in G^+} \lambda(v, w) \in [0, \infty]$$

for each $w \in G^+$. We say that λ is *left-bounded at* w if $\lambda(w) < \infty$, and that λ is *left-bounded* if this condition holds for all $w \in G^+$. The cocycle

condition gives $\lambda(w_2w_1) \leq \lambda(w_2)\lambda(w_1)$ whenever $w_2w_1 \in G^+$, so λ is left-bounded if and only if λ is left-bounded at every edge $e \in E(G)$.

If λ is left-bounded at w, then we define the weighted left shift operator $L_{\lambda,w} \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_G)$ to be the continuous linear extension of

$$L_{\lambda,w}\,\xi_v = \begin{cases} \lambda(v,w)\,\xi_{wv} & \text{if } wv \in G^+ \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Since $\lambda(w) < \infty$, it is easy to see that this gives a well-defined operator with $\|L_{\lambda,w}\| = \lambda(w)$. Moreover, if λ is left-bounded, then by the cocycle condition we see that $w \mapsto L_{\lambda,w}$ is a semigroupoid homomorphism:

$$L_{\lambda,w_2w_1} = L_{\lambda,w_2}L_{\lambda,w_1} \quad \text{whenever } w_2w_1 \in G^+.$$

We remark that one could also consider complex-valued left weight functions rather than weights taking non-negative values only. However, the corresponding weighted left shift operators would be jointly unitarily equivalent to weighted shift operators defined by a non-negative weight function. To see this, consider a complex-valued left weight $\mu\colon G^+ \times G^+ \to \mathbb{C}$, by which we mean that $\mu(v,w) \neq 0 \iff wv \in G^+$ and μ satisfies the left cocycle condition. Define corresponding weighted left shifts $L_{\mu,w}$ exactly as above, let $\lambda\colon G^+ \times G^+ \to [0,\infty)$ be the non-negative left weight $\lambda(v,w) = |\mu(v,w)|$ and consider $\beta\colon G^+ \times G^+ \to \mathbb{T}$, $\beta(v,w) = \frac{\lambda(v,w)}{\mu(v,w)}$ when $wv \in G^+$, and $\beta(v,w) = 1$ otherwise. Note that β then satisfies the left cocycle condition, so in particular we have $\beta(s(v),wv) = \beta(v,w)\beta(s(v),v)$ whenever $wv \in G^+$. The unitary operator U_β mapping ξ_v to $\beta(s(v),v)\xi_v$ satisfies

$$U_\beta L_{\mu,w}\xi_v = \mu(v,w)\beta(s(v),wv)\xi_{wv} = \beta(s(v),v)\lambda(v,w)\xi_{wv} = L_{\lambda,w}U_\beta\xi_v$$

whenever $wv \in G^+$, hence $U_\beta L_{\mu,w} = L_{\lambda,w}U_\beta$, i.e., $L_{\mu,w} = U_\beta^* L_{\lambda,w}U_\beta$.

Observe also that the requirement that $\lambda(v,w) \neq 0$ when $wv \in G^+$ is equivalent to requiring $L_{\lambda,w}$ to be injective on the set $\{\xi_v : wv \in G^+\}$, and is thus an assumption we build into the weights to avoid degeneracies in the analysis. Finally note that each operator $L_{\lambda,w}$ factors as a product of L_w and a diagonal (with respect to the standard basis) weight operator, just as in the single variable case of [14] which is recovered when G consists of a single vertex with a single loop edge.

We now define the algebras $\mathfrak{L}(G,\lambda)$ that we shall consider in the paper. In the case of the single vertex, single loop edge graph, these algebras include classical unilateral weighted shift algebras such as those associated with weighted Bergman spaces; see the survey article [14] for an entrance point into the literature. The case of a single vertex graph and multiple loop edges was first considered along with some reflexivity type problems in [7].

Definition 2.1. If λ is a left weight on a directed graph G, then we write $\mathfrak{L}(G,\lambda)$ for the WOT-closed unital operator algebra generated by the family of weighted left shift operators $\{L_{\lambda,w} : w \in G^+,\ \lambda(w) < \infty\}$.

Remark 2.2. If (as is often the case below) λ is left-bounded, then the set

$$\{L_{\lambda,w}\colon w \in V(G) \cup E(G)\}$$

also generates $\mathfrak{L}(G,\lambda)$ as a WOT-closed unital operator algebra.

Let us call a strictly positive function $\alpha\colon G^+ \to (0,\infty)$ with $\alpha(x) = 1$ for all $x \in V(G)$ a *path weight* on G. For any such α, there is a corresponding left weight λ_α on G given by

$$\lambda_\alpha(v,w) = \begin{cases} \frac{\alpha(wv)}{\alpha(v)} & \text{if } wv \in G^+ \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Conversely, from any left weight λ, we obtain a corresponding path weight $\alpha_\lambda\colon v \mapsto \lambda(s(v),v)$, and these correspondences are inverses of one another. This observation allows us to easily construct examples of left weights.

The left-handed notions above have right-handed counterparts which will play an important role in describing commutants. A *right weight* on G is a function $\rho\colon G^+ \times G^+ \to [0,\infty)$ satisfying $\rho(v,u) > 0 \iff vu \in G^+$ and the *(right) cocycle condition*

$$\rho(v,u_1u_2) = \rho(vu_1,u_2)\rho(v,u_1)$$

for all $v,u_1,u_2 \in G^+$ with $vu_1u_2 \in G^+$. We then have $\rho(v,s(v)) = 1$ for all $v \in G^+$. We write $\rho(u) = \sup_v \rho(v,u)$, and say ρ is *right-bounded at* u if $\rho(u) < \infty$. We may then consider the weighted right shift operator $R_{\rho,u} \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_G)$ (with $\|R_{\rho,u}\| = \rho(u)$) which satisfies the defining equation

$$R_{\rho,u}\xi_v = \begin{cases} \rho(v,u)\,\xi_{vu} & \text{if } vu \in G^+ \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

We have $\rho(u_1u_2) \leq \rho(u_2)\rho(u_1)$, and $R_{\rho,u_1u_2} = R_{\rho,u_2}R_{\rho,u_1}$ whenever $u_1u_2 \in G^+$ and ρ is right-bounded at u_1 and at u_2.

Definition 2.3. We write $\mathfrak{R}(G,\rho)$ for the WOT-closed unital operator algebra generated by $\{R_{\rho,u}\colon u \in G^+,\ \rho(u) < \infty\}$.

A right weight is *right-bounded* if it is right-bounded at every $u \in G^+$. Finally, we observe that

$$\rho_\alpha(v,u) = \begin{cases} \frac{\alpha(vu)}{\alpha(v)} & \text{if } vu \in G^+ \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

defines a one-to-one correspondence between path weights α and right weights $\rho = \rho_\alpha$.

Remark 2.4. Each of these right-handed definitions may be derived by applying the corresponding left-handed definition to the opposite graph of G, and making appropriate identifications. Note that the suprema defining $\lambda(u)$ and $\rho(u)$ are taken over the first argument, in

$\lambda(\cdot, u)$ and $\rho(\cdot, u)$, and so in particular the notion of right-boundedness for a left weight function does not arise. A path weight α, on the other hand, may be said to be left (resp. right) bounded if the associated map λ_α (resp. ρ_α) is left-bounded (resp. right-bounded).

Remark 2.5. The weighted shift creation operators $L_{\lambda,e}$ for edges of e, and also sums of these operators, are in fact special cases of a wide class of weighted shift operators defined on general countable trees, rather than our graph generated trees. The single operator theory for these general shifts, such as conditions for hyponormality and p-hyponormality, is developed in the recent book of Jablonski, Jung, and Stochel [4].

Remark 2.6. Muhly and Solel have recently defined weighted shift versions of the Hardy algebras $H^\infty(E)$ [12] that can be associated with a correspondence E (a self-dual right Hilbert C^*-module) over a W^*-algebra M. The Hardy algebras $\mathcal{A} = H^\infty(E)$ in fact provide generalizations of the free semigroupoid graph algebras in which the self-adjoint (diagonal) subalgebra $\mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{A}^*$ is no longer commutative. At the expense of a much higher level of technicality, the weighted shift versions of these Hardy algebras similarly extend the weighted shift directed graph algebras $\mathfrak{L}(G, \lambda)$.

3. Commutant Structure

Let λ be a left weight on G, and let ρ be a right weight on G. We say that the pair (λ, ρ) satisfies the *commuting square condition* at $(w, u) \in G^+ \times G^+$ if

$$\rho(wv, u)\lambda(v, w) = \lambda(vu, w)\rho(v, u)$$

for every $v \in G^+$ with $wvu \in G^+$. If λ is left-bounded at w and ρ is right-bounded at u, then a simple computation shows that this condition holds if and only if

$$R_{\rho,u} L_{\lambda,w} = L_{\lambda,w} R_{\rho,u}.$$

Recall that associated with the left weight λ is a forest graph whose vertices are labelled by the elements of G^+ and whose edges (v, ev) are labelled by the individual weights $\lambda(v, e)$ for $e \in E(G)$. We may now augment this λ-labelled forest by additional ρ-edges (v, ve), which are labelled by the individual nonzero weights $\rho(v, e)$. The resulting labelled graph is the union of two labelled edge-disjoint forests which share the same vertex set. The commuting square condition can be viewed as a commuting square within this labelled graph, for the weights indicated in Figure 1.

Definition 3.1. Let λ be a left weight on G. A right weight ρ on G is a *right companion* to λ if (λ, ρ) satisfies the commuting square condition



FIGURE 1. The commuting square condition. Solid lines are paths made of edges labelled by λ-weights, and dashed lines are paths of edges labelled by ρ-weights.

at every $(w, u) \in G^+ \times G^+$. We call a right companion ρ to λ *canonical* if $\rho(r(e), e) = \lambda(s(e), e)$ for all $e \in E(G)$.

Proposition 3.2. *For any left weight λ on G, there is a unique canonical right companion ρ to λ, namely $\rho = \rho_\alpha$ where α is the path weight with $\lambda = \lambda_\alpha$. Moreover, if ρ_1 and ρ_2 are both right companions to λ, then $\mathfrak{R}(G, \rho_1) = \mathfrak{R}(G, \rho_2)$.*

Proof. Let $\alpha = \alpha_\lambda$ be the path weight given by $\alpha(v) = \lambda(s(v), v)$. Then $\lambda = \lambda_\alpha$ and by an easy calculation, the right weight ρ_α (defined in the previous section) is a canonical right companion to λ.

If ρ_1 and ρ_2 are both right companions to λ, then applying the commuting square condition for ρ_1 and ρ_2 with $v = r(u) = s(w)$ shows that $q(u) := \frac{\rho_2(r(u),u)}{\rho_1(r(u),u)} > 0$ satisfies $\rho_2(w, u) = q(u)\rho_1(w, u)$ for any w, u with $wu \in G^+$. So ρ_1 is right-bounded at u if and only if ρ_2 is right-bounded at u, and in this case $R_{\rho_1,u} = q(u)R_{\rho_2,u}$; hence $\mathfrak{R}(G, \rho_1) = \mathfrak{R}(G, \rho_2)$.

If ρ_1 and ρ_2 are both canonical right companions to λ, then $q(e) = 1$ for all $e \in E(G)$. Applying the cocycle condition for ρ_1 and ρ_2 to the relation $\rho_2 = q \cdot \rho_1$ shows that $q(u_1u_2) = q(u_2)q(u_1)$ whenever $u_1u_2 \in G^+$; hence $q(u) = 1$ for all $u \in G^+$, so $\rho_1 = \rho_2$. □

For $k \geq 0$, let Q_k be the orthogonal projection of $\mathcal{H}_G$ onto the closed linear span of $\{\xi_v : |v| = k\}$. For $j \in \mathbb{Z}$, define a complete contraction $\Phi_j \colon \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_G) \to \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_G)$ by

$$\Phi_j(X) = \sum_{m \geq \max\{0,-j\}} Q_m X Q_{m+j}.$$

Also for $k \in \mathbb{N}$, define $\Sigma_k \colon \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_G) \to \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_G)$ via the Cesaro-type sums

$$\Sigma_k(X) = \sum_{|j|<k} \Big(1 - \frac{|j|}{k}\Big)\Phi_j(X).$$

The following lemma is well-known. For completeness, we include a short proof.

Lemma 3.3. *For $k \geq 0$ and $X \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_G)$, we have $\|\Sigma_k(X)\| \leq \|X\|$, and $\Sigma_k(X)$ converges to X in the strong operator topology as $k \to \infty$.*

Proof. Let $z \in \mathbb{T}$ and let U_z be the diagonal unitary operator on $\mathcal{H}_G$ for which $U_z\xi_v = z^{|v|}\xi_v$ for each $v \in G^+$. Then $U_z Q_s X Q_t U_z^* = z^{s-t} Q_s X Q_t$ for any $s, t \geq 0$. Since $\sum_{\ell \geq 0} Q_\ell = I$, it follows that for $j \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have

$$\Phi_j(X) = \int_{|z|=1} z^j U_z X U_z^* dz.$$

Writing $F_k(z) = \sum_{j=-k}^{k} (1 - \frac{|j|}{k+1}) z^j$ for the usual Fejér kernel, we see that

$$\Sigma_k(X) = \int_{|z|=1} F_{k-1}(z) U_z X U_z^* dz.$$

Considering the scalars $\langle \Sigma_k(X)\xi, \zeta \rangle$, for $\xi, \zeta \in \mathcal{H}_G$, and the fact that $\|F_{k-1}\|_{L^1(\mathbb{T})} = 1$, it follows that $\|\Sigma_k(X)\| \leq \|X\|$ for all k.

Let $\xi \in \mathcal{H}_G$. Then

$$\|(X - \Sigma_k(X))\xi\| \leq \int_{|z|=1} F_{k-1}(z) \|(X - U_z X U_z^*)\xi\| dz.$$

The operators U_z, U_z^* converge to the identity operator in the strong operator topology, as z tends to 1, and F_k tends weak star to the unit point mass measure at $z = 1$ as $k \to \infty$. It follows that $\Sigma_k(X)\xi \to X\xi$ as $k \to \infty$, and so $\Sigma_k(X) \overset{\text{SOT}}{\to} X$. □

Lemma 3.4. *Let ρ be a right weight on G and suppose that $f \colon G^+ \to \mathbb{C}$ has the property that if $f(u) \neq 0$, then $\rho(u) < \infty$. Let $\mathcal{H}_0$ be the dense subspace of $\mathcal{H}_G$ spanned by $\{\xi_v \colon v \in G^+\}$, and consider the sesquilinear form $A_f \colon \mathcal{H}_0 \times \mathcal{H}_0 \to \mathbb{C}$ with*

$$A_f(\xi_v, \xi_w) = \begin{cases} f(u)\rho(v,u) & \text{if } w = vu \text{ for some } u \in G^+ \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

If A_f is bounded on $\mathcal{H}_0 \times \mathcal{H}_0$, then the operator $X_f \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_G)$ implementing the continuous extension of A_f to $\mathcal{H}_G \times \mathcal{H}_G$ satisfies $X_f \in \mathfrak{R}(G, \rho)$.

Proof. Let $(G_1, G_2, \dots)$ be a sequence of finite subgraphs of G which increases to G; that is, $V(G_n)$ and $E(G_n)$ are finite sets for each n, and $V(G_n) \uparrow V(G)$ and $E(G_n) \uparrow E(G)$. For $n \geq 1$, let P_n be the projection onto the closure of the subspace of $\mathcal{H}_G$ spanned by $\{\xi_v \colon v \in G_n^+\}$. For $v, w \in G^+$, a calculation shows that $\langle P_n \Phi_j(X_f) P_n \xi_v, \xi_w \rangle = 0$ unless $v, w \in G_n^+$ with $w = vu$ for some $u \in G_n^+$ with $|u| = -j$ and $\rho(u) < \infty$; and that in the latter case,

$$\langle P_n \Phi_j(X_f) P_n \xi_v, \xi_w \rangle = A_f(\xi_v, \xi_w) = f(u)\rho(v,u).$$

It follows that $P_n\Phi_j(X_f)P_n = P_nF_{j,n}P_n$ where

$$F_{j,n} = \sum_{\substack{u\in G_n^+,\,|u|=-j,\\ \rho(u)<\infty}} f(u)R_{\rho,u}.$$

(We have $F_{j,n} = 0$ if $j > 0$.) Since $V(G_n)$ and $E(G_n)$ are finite, $F_{j,n}$ is a finite linear combination of operators $R_{\rho,u}$, so $F_{j,n} \in \mathfrak{R}(G,\rho)$. Now $P_n \overset{\text{SOT}}{\to} I$, so

$$\Phi_j(X_f) = \operatorname*{SOT\text{-}lim}_{n\to\infty} P_nF_{j,n}P_n.$$

In fact, we will shortly see that

$$\Phi_j(X_f) = \operatorname*{WOT\text{-}lim}_{n\to\infty} F_{j,n}.$$

From this, it follows that $\Phi_j(X_f) \in \mathfrak{R}(G,\rho)$, so $\Sigma_k(X_f) \in \mathfrak{R}(G,\rho)$ for all $k \geq 1$, allowing us to conclude, by Lemma 3.3, that $X_f = \operatorname{SOT\text{-}lim}_{k\to\infty}\Sigma_k(X_f) \in \mathfrak{R}(G,\rho)$ as desired.

To see this, we will first show that $\{\|F_{j,n}\|\colon n \geq 1\}$ is bounded. Note that for any $v \in G^+$, we have the norm-convergent sums

$$X_f\xi_v = \sum_{w\in G^+}\langle X_f\xi_v,\xi_w\rangle\xi_w = \sum_{w\in G^+}A_f(\xi_v,\xi_w)\xi_w = \sum_{u\in G^+}f(u)\rho(v,u)\xi_{vu}.$$

Moreover,

$$F_{j,n}\xi_v = \sum_{\substack{u\in G_n^+,\,|u|=-j,\\ \rho(u)<\infty}} f(u)\rho(v,u)\xi_{vu}$$

so $\|F_{j,n}\xi_v\| \leq \|X_f\|$. For $i = 1,2$, if $v_iu_i \in G^+$ and $|u_1| = |u_2| = -j$, then $v_1 \neq v_2 \implies v_1u_1 \neq v_2u_2$. It follows that $\{F_{j,n}\xi_v\colon v \in G^+\}$ is a pairwise orthogonal family of vectors for each $n \geq 1$, hence $F_{j,n} = \sum_{v\in G^+}^{\oplus} F_{j,n}\xi_v\xi_v^*$ and so

$$\|F_{j,n}\| = \sup_{v\in G^+}\|F_{j,n}\xi_v\| \leq \|X_f\|.$$

Now $P_n^\perp := I - P_n \overset{\text{SOT}}{\to} 0$ as $n\to\infty$, so $P_nF_{j,n}P_n^\perp \overset{\text{SOT}}{\to} 0$ and $P_n^\perp F_{j,n} \overset{\text{WOT}}{\to} 0$ as $n\to\infty$. Hence

$$F_{j,n} = P_nF_{j,n}P_n + P_nF_{j,n}P_n^\perp + P_n^\perp F_{j,n} \overset{\text{WOT}}{\to} \Phi_j(X_f) \quad \text{as } n\to\infty,$$

which completes the proof. □

Remark 3.5. It is not difficult to see that if the function f in Lemma 3.4 has finite support, then

$$X_f = \sum_{\substack{u\in G^+,\\ \rho(u)<\infty}} f(u)R_{\rho,u}.$$

Heuristically, it is useful to think of X_f as the formal series given by this formula even when the support of f is infinite.

Lemma 3.6. *Let λ be a left-bounded left weight on G. If $K \in \mathfrak{L}(G,\lambda)'$ and $K\xi_x = 0$ for all $x \in V(G)$, then $K = 0$.*

Proof. Given $w \in G^+$, consider $x = s(w)$. We have

$$K\xi_w = \lambda(x,w)^{-1} K L_{\lambda,w}\xi_x = \lambda(x,w)^{-1} L_{\lambda,x} K\xi_x = 0,$$

so $K = 0$. □

We are now ready to prove our main result.

Theorem 3.7. *If λ is a left-bounded left weight on G and ρ is its canonical right companion, then the commutant of $\mathfrak{L}(G,\lambda)$ coincides with $\mathfrak{R}(G,\rho)$.*

Proof. The observations at the start of this section show that $L_{\lambda,w}$ commutes with $R_{\rho,u}$ whenever $w, u \in G^+$ and $\rho(u) < \infty$. Hence $\mathfrak{L}(G,\lambda)'$ contains $\mathfrak{R}(G,\rho)$.

To prove the other inclusion, begin by fixing $S \in \mathfrak{L}(G,\lambda)'$. For $u \in G^+$, consider the coefficients $a_u \in \mathbb{C}$ defined by

$$a_u = \langle S\xi_{r(u)}, \xi_u \rangle.$$

Observe that for any $x \in V(G)$, the operator $L_{\lambda,x} = L_x$ is a projection with range spanned by $\{\xi_u \colon u \in r^{-1}(x)\}$, and $L_x S\xi_x = SL_x\xi_x = S\xi_x$. Hence

$$S\xi_x = \sum_{u \in G^+} \langle S\xi_x, \xi_u\rangle \xi_u = \sum_{u \in r^{-1}(x)} a_u \xi_u$$

with convergence in norm.

If $v, w \in G^+$, then $\xi_v = \lambda(s(v), v)^{-1} L_{\lambda,v}\xi_{s(v)}$ and $[S, L_{\lambda,v}] = 0$, and $L^*_{\lambda,v}\xi_w = 0$ unless $w = vu$ for some $u \in G^+$, and $L^*_{\lambda,v}\xi_{vu} = \lambda(u,v)\xi_u$. Now $\frac{\lambda(u,v)}{\lambda(s(v),v)} = \frac{\rho(v,u)}{\rho(r(u),u)}$ by the commuting square condition, and it follows that

$$\langle S\xi_v, \xi_w \rangle = \begin{cases} \frac{\rho(v,u)}{\rho(r(u),u)} a_u & \text{if } w = vu \text{ for some } u \in G^+ \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

In particular, if $a_u \neq 0$, then $\rho(u) < \infty$ since

$$\|S\| \geq \sup_{\{v \in G^+ \colon vu \in G^+\}} |\langle S\xi_v, \xi_{vu}\rangle| = \sup_{v \in G^+} \frac{\rho(v,u)}{\rho(r(u),u)} |a_u| = \frac{\rho(u)}{\rho(r(u),u)} |a_u|.$$

In view of this, if we define $f \colon G^+ \to \mathbb{C}$ by $f(u) = a_u \rho(r(u),u)^{-1}$, then we may legitimately consider the bilinear form $A_f \colon \mathcal{H}_0 \times \mathcal{H}_0 \to \mathbb{C}$, defined as in Lemma 3.4. Consider the operators $\Sigma_k(S)$. If $v, w \in G^+$

and $\big||w|-|v|\big| < k$, then

$$\begin{aligned}\langle \Sigma_k(S)\xi_v, \xi_w\rangle &= \sum_{|j|<k} \left(1 - \frac{|j|}{k}\right) \sum_{m \geq \max\{0,-j\}} \langle SQ_{m+j}\xi_v, Q_m\xi_w\rangle \\ &= \left(1 - \frac{\big||w|-|v|\big|}{k}\right) \langle S\xi_v, \xi_w\rangle \\ &= \begin{cases} \left(1 - \frac{|w|-|v|}{k}\right) f(u)\rho(v,u) & \text{if } w = vu \text{ for some } u \in G^+ \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \\ &= \left(1 - \frac{|w|-|v|}{k}\right) A_f(\xi_v, \xi_w).\end{aligned}$$

Hence for any $\xi, \eta \in \mathcal{H}_0$, we have $A_f(\xi,\eta) = \lim_{k\to\infty}\langle \Sigma_k(S)\xi, \eta\rangle$, so by Lemma 3.3,

$$|A_f(\xi,\eta)| \leq \sup_{k\geq 1} \|\Sigma_k(S)\| \, \|\xi\| \, \|\eta\| \leq \|S\| \, \|\xi\| \, \|\eta\|.$$

Thus A_f is bounded on $\mathcal{H}_0 \times \mathcal{H}_0$. By Lemma 3.4, the bounded linear operator $X = X_f$ implementing A_f is in $\mathfrak{R}(G,\rho)$. So $X \in \mathfrak{L}(G,\lambda)'$, and (as above) we conclude that for $x \in V(G)$, the vector $X\xi_x$ is in the closed subspace spanned by $\{\xi_u \colon u \in r^{-1}(x)\}$. Moreover, for any $u \in r^{-1}(x)$ we have

$$\langle X\xi_x, \xi_u\rangle = A_f(\xi_x, \xi_u) = f(u)\rho(x,u) = a_u = \langle S\xi_x, \xi_u\rangle.$$

So $X\xi_x = S\xi_x$ for all $x \in V(G)$. Since $X, S \in \mathfrak{L}(G,\lambda)'$, we have $K = X - S \in \mathfrak{L}(G,\lambda)'$ and $K\xi_x = 0$ for all $x \in V(G)$. Hence $S = X$ by Lemma 3.6, and so $S \in \mathfrak{R}(G,\rho)$, which completes the proof. □

Remark 3.8. This result generalizes and improves on a few previous results. The case of the single vertex and single edge graph yields classical single variable weighted shift operators, and there, the notion of right-boundedness simply corresponds to the weight sequence being bounded below. Hence this result generalizes the fundamental commutant theorem for weighted Bergman spaces $H^\infty(\beta)$ [14]. In the case of a single vertex graph with n edges and unit weights this result captures the commutant theorem for free semigroup algebras $\mathcal{L}_n$ [1], and it improves on the commutant result of [7], which established a special case of the theorem in the single vertex multi-edged weighted shift case. Finally, this result generalizes the commutant theorem for free semigroupoid algebras [8] which are determined by general unweighted directed graphs.

4. Double Commutant Theorems

When ρ is right-bounded, we obtain the following mirror image of Theorem 3.7 which may be established with a flipped version of the preceding proof. For brevity, we will instead pass to the opposite graph G^t

of G, which is essentially "G with the edges reversed". More formally, we set $V(G^t) = V(G)$, $E(G^t) = E(G)$ and $(G^t)^+ = \{v^t \colon v \in G^+\}$, where $(wv)^t = v^t w^t$ for $wv \in G^+$, and $u^t = u$ for $u \in V(G) \cup E(G)$; the source and range maps for G^t are given by $s^t(v^t) = r(v)$ and $r^t(v^t) = s(v)$.

Theorem 4.1. *If λ is a left weight on G whose canonical right companion ρ is right-bounded, then the commutant of $\mathfrak{R}(G,\rho)$ coincides with $\mathfrak{L}(G,\lambda)$.*

Proof. Let G^t be the opposite graph of G and let $\rho^t(v^t, u^t) = \rho(v,u)$ for $v, u \in G^+$; since ρ is a right-bounded right weight on G, it follows that ρ^t is a left-bounded left weight on G^t. A calculation using the path weight associated with λ and ρ shows that the canonical right companion to ρ^t is the right weight λ^t on G^t given by $\lambda^t(v^t, w^t) = \lambda(v,w)$. Let $U \colon \mathcal{H}_{G^t} \to \mathcal{H}_G$ be the unitary with $U\xi_{v^t} = \xi_v$. For $u, w \in G^+$ with $\lambda(w) < \infty$, by checking values on basis vectors we see that

$$UL_{\rho^t,u^t}U^* = R_{\rho,u} \quad \text{and} \quad UR_{\lambda^t,w^t}U^* = L_{\lambda,w},$$

so

$$U\mathfrak{L}(G^t,\rho^t)U^* = \mathfrak{R}(G,\rho) \quad \text{and} \quad U\mathfrak{R}(G^t,\lambda^t)U^* = \mathfrak{L}(G,\lambda).$$

By Theorem 3.7, $\mathfrak{L}(G^t,\rho^t)' = \mathfrak{R}(G^t,\lambda^t)$, hence

$$\begin{aligned}\mathfrak{R}(G,\rho)' = (U\mathfrak{L}(G^t,\rho^t)U^*)' &= U\mathfrak{L}(G^t,\rho^t)'U^* \\ &= U\mathfrak{R}(G^t,\lambda^t)U^* = \mathfrak{L}(G,\lambda). \qquad \square\end{aligned}$$

Combining the previous two results leads us to the following double commutant theorem.

Theorem 4.2. *If λ is a left-bounded left weight on G whose canonical right companion ρ is right-bounded, then $\mathfrak{L}(G,\lambda)$ coincides with its double commutant:*

$$\mathfrak{L}(G,\lambda)'' = \mathfrak{L}(G,\lambda).$$

If $\alpha \colon G^+ \to (0,\infty)$ is any path weight with $\sup_v \alpha(v) < \infty$ and $\inf_v \alpha(v) > 0$, then plainly λ_α is left-bounded and its canonical right companion ρ_α is right-bounded, giving a large class of weights satisfying the hypotheses of this result. In particular, if $|G^+| < \infty$ (i.e., if G is a finite *acyclic* directed graph), then for any left weight λ on G, we see that $\mathfrak{L}(G,\lambda)$ is an algebra of $n \times n$ matrices with $\mathfrak{L}(G,\lambda)'' = \mathfrak{L}(G,\lambda)$, where $n = |G^+|$.

On the other hand, there are many weights which satisfy the hypotheses of Theorem 4.2 but violate these boundedness conditions for the path weight α. For example, if G^+ contains paths of arbitrary length and $\alpha(v) = f(|v|)$ where $f \colon \mathbb{N}_0 \to (0,\infty)$ is any decreasing function with $f(0) = 1$ and $f(k) \to 0$ as $k \to \infty$, then λ_α is left-bounded and ρ_α is right-bounded, but $\inf_v \alpha(v) = 0$.

We now show one way to weaken the hypotheses in Theorem 4.2, at least if G is a finite directed graph. We first require a technical lemma.

Lemma 4.3. *Let ρ be a right weight on G and let $u \in G^+$ with $\rho(u) < \infty$. Let $k \geq 0$ and let $X \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_G)$. If $[X, R_{\rho,u}] = 0$, then $[\Sigma_k(X), R_{\rho,u}] = 0$.*

Proof. By calculating values on canonical basis vectors, we observe that

$$Q_m R_{\rho,u} = \begin{cases} R_{\rho,u} Q_{m-|w|} & \text{if } m \geq |u| \\ 0 & \text{if } 0 \leq m < |u|. \end{cases}$$

So if $[X, R_{\rho,u}] = 0$, then

$$\Phi_j(X) R_{\rho,u} = \sum_{m \geq \max\{|u|, |u|-j\}} R_{\rho,u} Q_{m-|u|} X Q_{m+j-|u|} = R_{\rho,u} \Phi_j(X).$$

Since $\Sigma_k(X)$ is a linear combination of the operators $\Phi_j(X)$, which all commute with $R_{\rho,u}$, we see that $\Sigma_k(X)$ commutes with $R_{\rho,u}$. □

For any right weight ρ on G, let us write

$$G^+_\rho = \{u \in G^+ \colon \rho(u) < \infty\}.$$

Since $\rho(x) = 1$ for $x \in V(G)$, we have $V(G) \subseteq G^+_\rho$. Moreover, since $\rho(vw) \leq \rho(v)\rho(w)$ for any $vw \in G^+$, we see that G^+_ρ is a subsemigroupoid in G^+.

Theorem 4.4. *Let λ be a left-bounded left weight on a finite directed graph G, with canonical right companion ρ. If*

$$\forall\, v \in G^+ \ \exists\, u_v \in G^+_\rho \colon v u_v \in G^+_\rho, \tag{1}$$

then $\mathfrak{L}(G, \lambda)'' = \mathfrak{L}(G, \lambda)$.

Proof. It suffices to show that $\mathfrak{L}(G, \lambda)'' \subseteq \mathfrak{L}(G, \lambda)$; equivalently (by Theorem 3.7) that $\mathfrak{R}(G, \rho)' \subseteq \mathfrak{L}(G, \lambda)$. Suppose that $T \in \mathfrak{R}(G, \rho)'$. If $v \in G^+$, then $R_{\rho,s(v)} = R_{s(v)}$ is a projection in $\mathfrak{R}(G, \rho)$ with $\xi_v = R_{s(v)}\xi_v$ and $[T, R_{s(v)}] = 0$, from which it follows that $T\xi_v \in R_{s(v)}\mathcal{H}_G$. Moreover, if $u \in G^+_\rho$, then the restriction of $R^*_{\rho,u} R_{\rho,u}$ to $R_{r(u)}\mathcal{H}_G$ is an injective diagonal operator since it maps ξ_v to $\rho(v, u)^2 \xi_v$ if $s(v) = r(u)$.

Now suppose $K \in \mathfrak{R}(G, \rho)'$ and $K\xi_x = 0$ for all $x \in V(G)$; we claim that we necessarily have $K = 0$. To see this, let $v \in G^+$, let u_v be as in Eq. (1) and note that $r(u_v) = s(v)$, since $v u_v \in G^+$. Now

$$\begin{aligned} R^*_{\rho,u_v} R_{\rho,u_v} K \xi_v &= R^*_{\rho,u_v} K R_{\rho,u_v} \xi_v = \rho(v, u_v) R^*_{\rho,u_v} K \xi_{v u_v} \\ &= \rho(v, u_v)\rho(r(v), v u_v)^{-1} R^*_{\rho,u_v} R_{\rho,v u_v} K \xi_{r(v)} = 0, \end{aligned}$$

so $K\xi_v = 0$ by the observations of the previous paragraph, establishing the claim.

Now let $T \in \mathfrak{R}(G,\rho)'$ be arbitrary. Since G is finite, for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$ the set $\{w \in G^+ \colon |w| < k\}$ is finite and we may consider the operator

$$p_k(T) = \sum_{\{w \in G^+ \colon |w| < k\}} \left(1 - \frac{|w|}{k}\right) a_w \lambda(s(w), w)^{-1} L_{\lambda,w}$$

where $a_w = \langle T\xi_{s(w)}, \xi_w \rangle$ for $w \in G^+$. Clearly, $p_k(T) \in \mathfrak{L}(G,\lambda)$. As observed above, we have $T\xi_x \in R_x \mathcal{H}_G$, so

$$T\xi_x = \sum_{w \in s^{-1}(x)} a_w \xi_w.$$

By Lemma 4.3, the operators $\Sigma_k(T)$ are in $\mathfrak{R}(G,\rho)'$. Hence $K = \Sigma_k(T) - p_k(T) \in \mathfrak{R}(G,\rho)'$, and a calculation gives $K\xi_x = 0$ for all $x \in V(G)$. Hence $\Sigma_k(T) = p_k(T) \in \mathfrak{L}(G,\lambda)$. Since $\mathfrak{L}(G,\lambda)$ is strongly closed and $\Sigma_k(T) \to T$ strongly, we obtain $T \in \mathfrak{L}(G,\lambda)$. Hence $\mathfrak{R}(G,\rho)' \subseteq \mathfrak{L}(G,\lambda)$ which completes the proof. □

We now give some examples illustrating this result. If $u \in G^+$, it will be useful to write

$$G^+u = \{vu \colon v \in G^+ \text{ and } vu \in G^+\}.$$

Example 4.5. Let G be the directed graph with a single vertex ϕ and two loop edges, e and f, so that $G^+ = \{\phi, e, f, ee = e^2, ef, fe, f^2, eee = e^3, \dots\}$ and $s(w) = r(w) = \phi$ for every $w \in G^+$ (see Figure 2). As indicated in Figure 3, we consider the path weight $\alpha \colon G^+ \to (0,\infty)$ given by

$$\alpha(v) = \begin{cases} 2^{-|v|} & \text{if } v \in G^+e \\ 1 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

and let $\lambda = \lambda_\alpha$. It is easy to check that the left weight λ is left-bounded (in fact $\lambda(w) \leq 1$ for all $w \in G^+$); the canonical right companion of λ is $\rho = \rho_\alpha$ by Proposition 3.2.

We claim that while ρ is not right-bounded, we have

$$G^+_\rho = \{\phi\} \cup G^+e = G^+ \setminus G^+f,$$

so that Eq. (1) holds with $u_v = e$ for all $v \in G^+$, hence $\mathfrak{L}(G,\lambda)'' = \mathfrak{L}(G,\lambda)$.

Let us check that G^+_ρ is indeed of this form. We have $\rho(v,u) = \frac{\alpha(vu)}{\alpha(v)}$ for any $v, u \in G^+$. In particular, if $u \in G^+f$, then $\alpha(vu) = 1$ and so $\rho(v,u) = 2^{|v|}$ for $v \in G^+e$, so $\rho(u) = \infty$. On the other hand, if $u \in G^+ \setminus G^+f$, then $\alpha(vu) = 2^{-|vu|} \leq \alpha(v)$ for all $v \in G^+$, so $\rho(u) \leq 1$.

Example 4.6. Let G be the directed 2-cycle, so that $V(G) = \{x, y\}$ and $E(G) = \{e, f\}$ where $s(e) = r(f) = x$ and $s(f) = r(e) = y$ (see Figure 4). For this particular graph G, we will show that if λ is any left-bounded left weight on G with right companion ρ, then $\mathfrak{L}(G,\lambda)'' = \mathfrak{L}(G,\lambda)$ if and only if G^+_ρ satisfies Eq. (1).



FIGURE 2. G and G^+ in Examples 4.5 and 4.7



FIGURE 3. The path weight α considered in Example 4.5



FIGURE 4. G and G^+ in Example 4.6



FIGURE 5. The path weight α considered in Example 4.7

Note that the edges in any path in G^+ must alternate:

$$G^+ = \{x, y, e, f, ef, fe, efe, fef, efef, fefe, \dots\}.$$

We first show that $ef \in G_\rho^+$. Let $v \in G^+ \setminus V(G)$ with $vef \in G^+$. Either $v = (ef)^k$ or $v = f(ef)^{k-1} = (fe)^{k-1}f$ for some $k \geq 1$. Let $\alpha\colon G^+ \to (0, \infty)$ be the path weight with $\lambda = \lambda_\alpha$ and $\rho = \rho_\alpha$. Since $(ef)^k ef = (ef)^{k+1} = ef(ef)^k$, we have

$$\rho((ef)^k, ef) = \frac{\alpha((ef)^{k+1})}{\alpha((ef)^k)} = \lambda((ef)^k, ef) \leq \lambda(ef) < \infty$$

and since $f(ef)^{k-1}ef = f(ef)^k = (fe)^k f$, we have

$$\rho(f(ef)^{k-1}, ef) = \frac{\alpha((fe)^k f)}{\alpha((fe)^{k-1}f)} = \lambda((fe)^{k-1}f, fe) \leq \lambda(fe) < \infty,$$

so $\rho(ef) < \infty$, i.e., $ef \in G_\rho^+$. Similarly, $fe \in G_\rho^+$. Since G_ρ^+ is a semigroupoid, we have $\langle ef, fe\rangle \subseteq G_\rho^+$ where $\langle ef, fe\rangle := V(G) \cup \{(ef)^k, (fe)^k\colon k \geq 1\}$. If $G_\rho^+ \supsetneq \langle ef, fe\rangle$, then G_ρ^+ contains an element of odd length. By symmetry, we may assume this is of the form $e(fe)^n$ for some $n \geq 0$. We then also have $e(fe)^m = e(fe)^n(fe)^{m-n} \in G_\rho^+$ for any $m > n$, so if we define u_v for $v \in G^+$ by

$$u_v = \begin{cases} s(v) & \text{if } v \in \langle ef, fe\rangle \\ (fe)^n & \text{if } v = e(fe)^k \text{ for some } k \geq 0 \\ e(fe)^n & \text{if } v = f(ef)^k \text{ for some } k \geq 0, \end{cases}$$

then $u_v \in G_\rho^+$ and $vu_v \in G_\rho^+$ for all $v \in G^+$, so Eq. (1) holds and so $\mathfrak{L}(G, \lambda)'' = \mathfrak{L}(G, \lambda)$ by Theorem 4.4.

On the other hand, if $G_\rho^+ = \langle ef, fe\rangle$, then Eq. (1) does not hold, since if $|v|$ is odd and $u \in G_\rho^+$ with $vu \in G^+$, then $|vu|$ is also odd, so $vu \notin G_\rho^+$. In this case, it is not difficult to see that the orthogonal projection P onto the closed linear span of $\{\xi_v\colon v = x \text{ or } v = (fe)^k,\ k \geq 1\}$ commutes with $R_{\rho,u}$ for $u \in \{x, y, ef, fe\}$, hence $P \in \mathfrak{R}(G, \rho)' = \mathfrak{L}(G, \lambda)''$. If $T \in \mathfrak{L}(G, \lambda)$, then $\langle T\xi_x, \xi_x\rangle = \langle T\xi_f, \xi_f\rangle$. Since $P\xi_x = \xi_x$ and $P\xi_f = 0$, we have $P \notin \mathfrak{L}(G, \lambda)$. So $\mathfrak{L}(G, \lambda)'' \neq \mathfrak{L}(G, \lambda)$.

We note that it is indeed possible for Eq. (1) to fail for this graph G. For example, let $\alpha\colon G^+ \to (0, \infty)$ with $\alpha(v) = 1$ for all $v \in s^{-1}(x)$, and $\alpha(v) = 2^{f(|v|)}$ for $v \in s^{-1}(y)$ where $f\colon \mathbb{N}_0 \to \mathbb{Z}$ is a function with $f(0) = 0$, and $f(n+1) \in \{f(n) - 1, f(n) + 1\}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and with $\sup_n f(n) = \infty$ and $\inf_n f(n) = -\infty$. One may then check that the left weight λ_α is left-bounded, and that its canonical right companion ρ satisfies $G_\rho^+ = \langle ef, fe\rangle$, so Eq. (1) fails.

Example 4.7. For a general left-bounded weight λ, the double commutant property for $\mathfrak{L}(G, \lambda)$ can fail very badly. For example, let G again be the directed graph with a single vertex ϕ and two loop edges e

and f, and let us now define a path weight $\alpha\colon G^+ \to (0,\infty)$ recursively by setting $\alpha(\phi) = \alpha(e) = \alpha(f) = 1$, and

$$\alpha(ewe) = \tfrac{1}{2}\alpha(we), \qquad \alpha(fwf) = \tfrac{1}{2}\alpha(wf),$$
$$\alpha(ewf) = \alpha(wf), \qquad \alpha(fwe) = \alpha(we).$$

This is illustrated in Figures 2 and 5. Take $\lambda = \lambda_\alpha$ and $\rho = \rho_\alpha$. Observe that λ is a left-bounded left weight since $\alpha(wv) \leq \alpha(v)$ for all $w, v \in G^+$. For any $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $w \in G^+$,

$$\rho(we) \geq \rho(f^k, we) = \frac{\alpha(f^k we)}{\alpha(f^k)} = 2^{k-1}\alpha(we) \to \infty \text{ as } k \to \infty,$$

hence $\rho(we) = \infty$; by symmetry, $\rho(wf) = \infty$. Hence $G^+_\rho = \{\phi\}$. Since $R_{\rho,\phi} = R_\phi = I$, we have $\mathfrak{R}(G,\rho) = \mathbb{C}I$ and so $\mathfrak{L}(G,\lambda)'' = \mathfrak{R}(G,\rho)' = \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_G) \neq \mathfrak{L}(G,\lambda)$.

The commutant result yields other structural results on the algebras, such as the following.

Corollary 4.8. *Let λ be a left-bounded left weight on G with canonical right companion ρ. If either ρ is right-bounded, or G is finite and G^+_ρ satisfies Eq. (1), then $\mathfrak{L}(G,\lambda)$ is inverse closed.*

Proof. This is a well-known property of commutants: if $A \in \mathfrak{L}(G,\lambda) = \mathfrak{R}(G,\rho)'$ is invertible in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_G)$, then for all $R \in \mathfrak{R}(G,\rho)$, $A^{-1}R = A^{-1}RAA^{-1} = RA^{-1}$, and hence $A^{-1} \in \mathfrak{R}(G,\rho)' = \mathfrak{L}(G,\lambda)$. □

Corollary 4.9. *If λ is a left-bounded left weight on G whose canonical right companion ρ is right-bounded, then every normal element of $\mathfrak{L}(G,\lambda)$ lies in the SOT-closure of the linear span of the projections $L_{\lambda,x}$ for $x \in V(G)$.*

Proof. Let N be a normal element of $\mathfrak{L}(G,\lambda)$. Set $a_x = \langle N\xi_x, \xi_x\rangle$ for $x \in V(G)$ and let M be the SOT-convergent sum $M = \sum_{x\in V(G)} a_x L_{\lambda,x}$. Observe that each ξ_x is an eigenvector for $\mathfrak{L}(G,\lambda)^*$, as for all $u \in G^+ \setminus \{x\}$ and $A \in \mathfrak{L}(G,\lambda)$, we have

$$\langle A^*\xi_x, \xi_u\rangle = \tfrac{1}{\rho(r(u),u)}\langle \xi_x, AR_{\rho,u}\xi_{r(u)}\rangle = \tfrac{1}{\rho(r(u),u)}\langle R^*_{\rho,u}\xi_x, A\xi_{r(u)}\rangle = 0.$$

Thus $N^*\xi_x = \overline{a_x}\xi_x$, and by normality $N\xi_x = a_x\xi_x$. Hence for all $u \in G^+$,

$$N\xi_u = \tfrac{1}{\rho(r(u),u)}NR_{\rho,u}\xi_{r(u)} = \tfrac{1}{\rho(r(u),u)}R_{\rho,u}N\xi_{r(u)} = a_{r(u)}\xi_u = M\xi_u,$$

so $N = M$. □

Remark 4.10. Building on Theorems 4.2 and 4.4, a natural open problem is to determine weighted graph conditions that fully characterize when the algebra $\mathfrak{L}(G,\lambda)$ and its double commutant $\mathfrak{L}(G,\lambda)''$ coincide.

Acknowledgements. This work was partly supported by a London Mathematical Society travel grant. The first named author was partly supported by NSERC Discovery Grant 400160 and a University Research Chair at Guelph. We are grateful to the referee for helpful suggestions.

References

[1] K. R. Davidson and D. R. Pitts. The algebraic structure of non-commutative analytic Toeplitz algebras. *Math. Ann.*, 311:275–303, 1998.
[2] K. R. Davidson and D. R. Pitts. Invariant subspaces and hyper-reflexivity for free semigroup algebras. *Proc. London Math. Soc. (3)*, 78(2):401–430, 1999.
[3] Adam Dor-On. Isomorphisms of tensor algebras arising from weighted partial systems. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, page to appear.
[4] Zenon Jan Jabłoński, Il Bong Jung, and Jan Stochel. Weighted shifts on directed trees. *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 216(1017):viii+106, 2012.
[5] E. Katsoulis and D. W. Kribs. Isomorphisms of algebras associated with directed graphs. *Math. Ann.*, 330:709–728, 2004.
[6] Matthew Kennedy. Wandering vectors and the reflexivity of free semigroup algebras. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 653:47–73, 2011.
[7] D. W. Kribs. Non-selfadjoint operator algebras generated by weighted shifts on Fock space. *Houston J. Math.*, 30:147–169, 2004.
[8] D. W. Kribs and S. C. Power. Free semigroupoid algebras. *J. Ramanujan Math. Soc.*, 19:75–117, 2004.
[9] D. W. Kribs and B. Solel. A class of limit algebras associated with directed graphs. *J. Australian Math. Soc.*, 82:1–24, 2007.
[10] L. W. Marcoux and M. M. Mastnak. Non-selfadjoint double commutant theorems. *J. Operator Thy.*, 72:87–114, 2014.
[11] P. Muhly and B. Solel. Tensor algebras, induced representations, and the Wold decomposition. *Can. J. Math.*, 51:850–880, 1999.
[12] P. Muhly and B. Solel. Matricial function theory and weighted shifts. *Integral Equations Operator Theory*, 84(4):501–553, 2016.
[13] G. Popescu. Multi-analytic operators on Fock spaces. *Math. Ann.*, 303:31–46, 1995.
[14] A. Shields. Weighted shift operators and analytic function theory. *Topics in Operator Theory*, 13:49–128, 1974.

Department of Mathematics & Statistics, University of Guelph, Guelph, ON, Canada N1G 2W1

School of Mathematics & Statistics, University College Dublin, Belfield, Dublin 4, Ireland

Department of Mathematics & Statistics, Lancaster University, Lancaster, U.K., LA1 4YF